

## STABILITY UNVIGINTIC FUNCTIONAL EQUATION IN MULTI-BANACH SPACES

R. MURALI<sup>1</sup>, A. ANTONY RAJ<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1,2</sup> PG and Research Department of Mathematics,  
Sacred Heart College,  
Tirupattur - 635 601, TamilNadu, India  
shcrmurali@yahoo.co.in, antoyellow92@gmail.com

ABSTRACT. In this paper we prove the Hyers-Ulam Stability of Unvigintic Functional Equations in Multi-Banach Spaces.

### 1 INTRODUCTION

The issue of stability of functional equations has appeared in connection with a question that Ulam [12] asked in 1940. Hyers [6], by using direct method, brilliantly gave a partial answer for the case of the additive Cauchy functional equation for mappings between Banach Spaces. This result was then improved by Aoki [1] and Rassias [11], who weakened the condition for the bound of the norm of Cauchy difference. The stability phenomena proved in [6] and [11] were named Hyers-Ulam and Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability duo to the high influence of Hyers and Rassias on this area of research.

Let us recall some basic concepts concerning Multi-Banach Spaces.

The Multi-Banach Spaces were first investigated by Dales and Polyakov [5]. Theory of Multi-Banach Spaces is similar to operator sequence space and has some connections with operator spaces and Banach Spaces. In 2007 H.G. Dales and M.S. Moslehian [2] first proved the stability of mappings and also gave some examples on multi-normed spaces. The asymptotic aspects of the quadratic functional equations in multi-normed spaces were investigated by M.S. Moslehian, K. Nikodem and D. Popa [7] in 2009. In last two decades, the stability of functional equations on multi-normed spaces was proved by many mathematicians (see, [3, 9, 10, 13, 14]).

Let  $(\varphi, \|\cdot\|)$  be a complex normed space, and let  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . We denote by  $\varphi^k$  the linear space  $\varphi \oplus \varphi \oplus \varphi \oplus \dots \oplus \varphi$  consisting of  $k$ -tuples  $(x_1, \dots, x_k)$  where  $x_1, \dots, x_k \in \varphi$ .

---

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* :39B52, 32B72, 32B82.

*Key words and phrases.* :Hyers-Ulam stability, Multi-Banach Spaces, Unvigintic Functional Equation, Fixed Point Method.

The linear operations on  $\wp^k$  are defined coordinate wise. The zero element of either  $\wp$  or  $\wp^k$  is denoted by 0. We denote by  $\mathbb{N}_k$  the set  $\{1, 2, \dots, k\}$  and by  $\Psi_k$  the group of permutations on  $k$  symbols.

**Definition 1.1.** [2] A multi-norm on  $\{\wp^k : k \in \mathbb{N}\}$  is a sequence  $(\|\cdot\|) = (\|\cdot\|_k : k \in \mathbb{N})$  such that  $\|\cdot\|_k$  is a norm on  $\wp^k$  for each  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $\|x\|_1 = \|x\|$  for each  $x \in \wp$ , and the following axioms are satisfied for each  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  with  $k \geq 2$  :

- (1)  $\|(x_{\sigma(1)}, \dots, x_{\sigma(k)})\|_k = \|(x_1 \dots x_k)\|_k$ , for  $\sigma \in \Psi_k, x_1, \dots, x_k \in \wp$ ;
- (2)  $\|(\alpha_1 x_1, \dots, \alpha_k x_k)\|_k \leq (\max_{i \in \mathbb{N}_k} |\alpha_i|) \|(x_1 \dots x_k)\|_k$   
for  $\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_k \in \mathbb{C}, x_1, \dots, x_k \in \wp$ ;
- (3)  $\|(x_1, \dots, x_{k-1}, 0)\|_k = \|(x_1, \dots, x_{k-1})\|_{k-1}$ , for  $x_1, \dots, x_{k-1} \in \wp$ ;
- (4)  $\|(x_1, \dots, x_{k-1}, x_{k-1})\|_k = \|(x_1, \dots, x_{k-1})\|_{k-1}$  for  $x_1, \dots, x_{k-1} \in \wp$ .

In this case, we say that  $(\wp^k, \|\cdot\|_k) : k \in \mathbb{N}$  is a multi-normed space.

Suppose that  $(\wp^k, \|\cdot\|_k) : k \in \mathbb{N}$  is a multi-normed space, and take  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . We need the following two properties of multi - norms. They can be found in [2].

$$(a) \|(x, \dots, x)\|_k = \|x\|, \forall x \in \wp,$$

$$(b) \max_{i \in \mathbb{N}_k} \|x_i\| \leq \|(x_1, \dots, x_k)\|_k \leq \sum_{i=1}^k \|x_i\| \leq k \max_{i \in \mathbb{N}_k} \|x_i\|, \forall x_1, \dots, x_k \in \wp.$$

It follows from (b) that if  $(\wp, \|\cdot\|)$  is a Banach Space, then  $(\wp^k, \|\cdot\|_k)$  is a Banach Space for each  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ ;

In this case,  $(\wp^k, \|\cdot\|_k) : k \in \mathbb{N}$  is a multi-banach space.

The following fixed point theorem proved by Diaz and Margolis [4] plays an important role in proving our theorem:

**Theorem 1.2.** [4] Let  $(\mathcal{X}, d)$  be a complete generalized metric space and let  $\mathcal{J} : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$  be a strictly contractive mapping with Lipschitz constant  $\mathcal{L} < 1$ . Then for each given element  $x \in \mathcal{X}$ , either

$$d(\mathcal{J}^n x, \mathcal{J}^{n+1} x) = \infty$$

for all nonnegative integers  $n$  or there exists a positive integer  $n_0$  such that

- (i)  $d(\mathcal{J}^n x, \mathcal{J}^{n+1} x) < \infty$  for all  $n \geq n_0$ ;
- (ii) The sequence  $\{\mathcal{J}^n x\}$  is convergent to a fixed point  $y^*$  of  $\mathcal{J}$ ;
- (iii)  $y^*$  is the unique fixed point of  $T$  in the set  $Y = \{y \in \mathcal{X} : d(\mathcal{J}^{n_0} x, y) < \infty\}$ ;
- (iv)  $d(y, y^*) \leq \frac{1}{1-\mathcal{L}} d(y, \mathcal{J}y)$  for all  $y \in Y$ .

In this paper, we prove the Hyers-Ulam Stability of Unvigintic Functional Equation

$$f(x + 11y) - 21f(x + 10y) + 210f(x + 9y) - 1330f(x + 8y) + 5985f(x + 7y) - 20349f(x + 6y) + 54264f(x + 5y) - 116280f(x + 4y) + 203490f(x + 3y)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & -293930f(x+2y) + 352716f(x+y) - 352716f(x) + 293930f(x-y) \\
 & -203490f(x-2y) + 116280f(x-3y) - 54264f(x-4y) + 20349f(x-5y) \\
 & -5985f(x-6y) + 1330f(x-7y) - 210f(x-8y) + 21f(x-9y) - f(x-10y) = 21!f(y),
 \end{aligned} \tag{1.1}$$

where  $21! = 51090942170000000000$  in Multi-Banach Space.

## 2 HYERS-ULAM STABILITY OF UNVIGINTIC FUNCTIONAL EQUATION (1.1) IN MULTI-BANACH SPACES

**Theorem 2.1.** Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be an linear space and let  $((\mathcal{B}^k, \|\cdot\|_k) : K \in \mathbb{N})$  be a multi-Banach space. Suppose that  $\eta$  is a non-negative real number and  $f : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$  be a function fulfills

$$\sup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \|(\mathcal{G}f(x_1, y_1), \dots, \mathcal{G}f(x_k, y_k))\|_k \leq \eta \tag{2.1}$$

$x_1, \dots, x_k, y_1, \dots, y_k \in \mathcal{A}$ . Then there exists a unique Unvigintic function  $\mathcal{V}_{21} : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$  such that

$$\sup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \|(f(x_1) - \mathcal{V}_{21}(x_1), \dots, f(x_k) - \mathcal{V}_{21}(x_k))\|_k \leq \frac{2097153}{21!(2097151)}\eta. \tag{2.2}$$

for all  $x_i \in \mathcal{A}$ , where  $i = 1, 2, \dots, k$ .

*Proof.* Replacing  $x_j = 0, y_j = 2x_j$  and  $x_j = 11x_j, y_j = x_j$  where  $j = 1, 2, \dots, k$  in (2.1) and subtracting the two resulting equations, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \sup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \|(21f(21x_1) - 230f(20x_1) + 1330f(19x_1) - 5796f(18x_1) + 20349f(17x_1) \\
 & - 55384f(16x_1) + 116280f(15x_1) - 198835f(14x_1) + 293930f(13x_1) \\
 & - 367080f(12x_1) + 352716f(11x_1) - 260015f(10x_1) + 203490f(9x_1) \\
 & - 178296f(8x_1) + 54264f(7x_1) - 66861f(6x_1) + 5985f(5x_1) - 91770f(4x_1) \\
 & + 210f(3x_1) + (58765 - 21!)f(2x_1) - (1 + 21!)f(x_1), \dots, 21f(21x_k) \\
 & - 230f(20x_k) + 1330f(19x_k) - 5796f(18x_k) + 20349f(17x_k) \\
 & - 55384f(16x_k) + 116280f(15x_k) - 198835f(14x_k) + 293930f(13x_k) \\
 & - 367080f(12x_k) + 352716f(11x_k) - 260015f(10x_k) + 203490f(9x_k) \\
 & - 178296f(8x_k) + 54264f(7x_k) - 66861f(6x_k) + 5985f(5x_k) - 91770f(4x_k) \\
 & + 210f(3x_k) + (58765 - 21!)f(2x_k) - (1 + 21!)f(x_k))\|_k \leq 2\eta
 \end{aligned} \tag{2.3}$$

$\forall x_1, \dots, x_k \in \mathcal{A}$ . Replacing  $(x_j, y_j)$  with  $(10x_j, x_j)$  where  $j = 1, 2, \dots, k$  in (2.1), further multiplying the resulting inequality by 21, and subtracting the obtained result from (2.3), we arrive

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \sup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \|(211f(20x_1) - 3080f(19x_1) + 22134f(18x_1) - 105336f(17x_1) + 371945f(16x_1) \\
 & - 1023264f(15x_1) + 2243045f(14x_1) - 3979360f(13x_1) + 5805450f(12x_1) \\
 & - 7054320f(11x_1) + 7147021f(10x_1) - 5969040f(9x_1) + 4094994f(8x_1) \\
 & - 2387616f(7x_1) + 1206405f(6x_1) - 421344f(5x_1) + 33915f(4x_1) - 27720f(3x_1) \\
 & + (63175 - 21!)f(2x_1) + 22(21!)f(x_1), \dots, \\
 & 211f(20x_k) - 3080f(19x_k) + 22134f(18x_k) - 105336f(17x_k) + 371945f(16x_k)
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & -1023264f(15x_k) + 2243045f(14x_k) - 3979360f(13x_k) + 5805450f(12x_k) \\
 & -7054320f(11x_k) + 7147021f(10x_k) - 5969040f(9x_k) + 4094994f(8x_k) \\
 & -2387616f(7x_k) + 1206405f(6x_k) - 421344f(5x_k) + 33915f(4x_k) - 27720f(3x_k) \\
 & + (63175 - 21!)f(2x_k) + 22(21!)f(x_k) \Big\|_k \leq 23\eta \tag{2.4}
 \end{aligned}$$

$\forall x_1, \dots, x_k \in \mathcal{A}$ . Replacing  $(x_j, y_j)$  with  $(9x_j, x_j)$  where  $j = 1, 2, \dots, k$  in (2.1), further multiplying the resulting inequality by 211, and subtracting the obtained result from (2.4), we arrive

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \sup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \Big\| (1351f(19x_1) - 22176f(18x_1) + 175294f(17x_1) - 890890f(16x_1) \\
 & + 3270375f(15x_1) - 9206659f(14x_1) + 20555720f(13x_1) - 37130940f(12x_1) \\
 & + 54964910f(11x_1) - 67276055f(10x_1) + 68454036f(9x_1) - 57924236f(8x_1) \\
 & + 40548774f(7x_1) - 23328675f(6x_1) + 11028360f(5x_1) - 4259724f(4x_1) \\
 & + 1235115f(3x_1) - (217455 + 21!)f(2x_1) + 233(21!)f(x_1), \dots, 1351f(19x_k) \\
 & - 22176f(18x_k) + 175294f(17x_k) - 890890f(16x_k) + 3270375f(15x_k) \\
 & - 9206659f(14x_k) + 20555720f(13x_k) - 37130940f(12x_k) + 54964910f(11x_k) \\
 & - 67276055f(10x_k) + 68454036f(9x_k) - 57924236f(8x_k) + 40548774f(7x_k) \\
 & - 23328675f(6x_k) + 11028360f(5x_k) - 4259724f(4x_k) + 1235115f(3x_k) \\
 & - (217455 + 21!)f(2x_k) + 233(21!)f(x_k) \Big\|_k \leq 234\eta \tag{2.5}
 \end{aligned}$$

$\forall x_1, \dots, x_k \in \mathcal{A}$ . Replacing  $(x_j, y_j)$  with  $(8x_j, x_j)$  where  $j = 1, 2, \dots, k$  in (2.1), further multiplying the resulting inequality by 1351, and subtracting the obtained result from (2.5), we arrive

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \sup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \Big\| (6195f(18x_1) - 108416f(17x_1) + 905940f(16x_1) - 4815360f(15x_1) \\
 & + 18284840f(14x_1) - 52754944f(13x_1) + 119963340f(12x_1) - 219950080f(11x_1) \\
 & + 329823375f(10x_1) - 408065280f(9x_1) + 418595080f(8x_1) - 356550656f(7x_1) \\
 & + 251586315f(6x_1) - 146065920f(5x_1) + 69050940f(4x_1) - 26256384f(3x_1) \\
 & + (7866929 - 21!)f(2x_1) + 1584(21!)f(x_1), \dots, 6195f(18x_k) \\
 & - 108416f(17x_k) + 905940f(16x_k) - 4815360f(15x_k) + 18284840f(14x_k) \\
 & - 52754944f(13x_k) + 119963340f(12x_k) - 219950080f(11x_k) + 329823375f(10x_k) \\
 & - 408065280f(9x_k) + 418595080f(8x_k) - 356550656f(7x_k) + 251586315f(6x_k) \\
 & - 146065920f(5x_k) + 69050940f(4x_k) - 26256384f(3x_k) \\
 & + (7866929 - 21!)f(2x_k) + 1584(21!)f(x_k) \Big\|_k \leq 1585\eta \tag{2.6}
 \end{aligned}$$

$\forall x_1, \dots, x_k \in \mathcal{A}$ . Replacing  $(x_j, y_j)$  with  $(7x_j, x_j)$  where  $j = 1, 2, \dots, k$  in (2.1), further multiplying the resulting inequality by 6195, and subtracting the obtained result from (2.6), we arrive

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \sup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \Big\| (21679f(17x_1) - 395010f(16x_1) + 3423990f(15x_1) - 18792235f(14x_1) \\
 & + 73307111f(13x_1) - 216202140f(12x_1) + 500404520f(11x_1) \\
 & - 930797175f(10x_1) + 1412831070f(9x_1) - 1766480540f(8x_1) \\
 & + 1828524964f(7x_1) - 1569310035f(6x_1) + 1114554630f(5x_1) - 651303660f(4x_1) \\
 & + 309902901f(3x_1) - (118065031 + 21!)f(2x_1) + 7779(21!)f(x_1), \dots, \\
 & 21679f(17x_k) - 395010f(16x_k) + 3423990f(15x_k) - 18792235f(14x_k) \\
 & + 73307111f(13x_k) - 216202140f(12x_k) + 500404520f(11x_k)
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & -930797175f(10x_k) + 1412831070f(9x_k) - 1766480540f(8x_k) \\
 & +1828524964f(7x_k) - 1569310035f(6x_k) + 1114554630f(5x_k) - 651303660f(4x_k) \\
 & +309902901f(3x_k) - (118065031 + 21!)f(2x_k) + 7779(21!)f(x_k))\|_k \leq 7780\eta
 \end{aligned} \tag{2.7}$$

$\forall x_1, \dots, x_k \in \mathcal{A}$ . Replacing  $(x_j, y_j)$  with  $(6x_j, x_j)$  where  $j = 1, 2, \dots, k$  in (2.1), further multiplying the resulting inequality by 21679, and subtracting the obtained result from (2.7), we arrive

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \sup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \|(60249f(16x_1) - 1128600f(15x_1) + 10040835f(14x_1) - 56441704f(13x_1) \\
 & + 224943831f(12x_1) - 675984736f(11x_1) + 1590036945f(10x_1) \\
 & - 2998628640f(9x_1) + 4605627930f(8x_1) - 5818005200f(7x_1) \\
 & + 6077220129f(6x_1) - 5257553840f(5x_1) + 3760134371f(4x_1) \\
 & - 2210475960f(3x_1) + (1053771635 - 21!)f(2x_1) + 29458(21!)f(x_1), \dots, \\
 & 60249f(16x_k) - 1128600f(15x_k) + 10040835f(14x_k) - 56441704f(13x_k) \\
 & + 224943831f(12x_k) - 675984736f(11x_k) + 1590036945f(10x_k) \\
 & - 2998628640f(9x_k) + 4605627930f(8x_k) - 5818005200f(7x_k) \\
 & + 6077220129f(6x_k) - 5257553840f(5x_k) + 3760134371f(4x_k) - 2210475960f(3x_k) \\
 & + (1053771635 - 21!)f(2x_k) + 29458(21!)f(x_k))\|_k \leq 29459\eta
 \end{aligned} \tag{2.8}$$

$\forall x_1, \dots, x_k \in \mathcal{A}$ . Replacing  $(x_j, y_j)$  with  $(5x_j, x_j)$  where  $j = 1, 2, \dots, k$  in (2.1), further multiplying the resulting inequality by 60249, and subtracting the obtained result from (2.8), we arrive

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \sup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \|(136629f(15x_1) - 2611455f(14x_1) + 23689466f(13x_1) - 135646434f(12x_1) \\
 & + 550022165f(11x_1) - 1679314791f(10x_1) + 4007125080f(9x_1) \\
 & - 7654441080f(8x_1) + 11890983370f(7x_1) - 15173566160f(6x_1) \\
 & + 15993172200f(5x_1) - 13947588970f(4x_1) + 10036940760f(3x_1) \\
 & - (5871850915 + 21!)f(2x_1) + 89707(21!)f(x_1), \dots, 136629f(15x_k) \\
 & - 2611455f(14x_k) + 23689466f(13x_k) - 135646434f(12x_k) \\
 & + 550022165f(11x_k) - 1679314791f(10x_k) + 4007125080f(9x_k) \\
 & - 7654441080f(8x_k) + 11890983370f(7x_k) - 15173566160f(6x_k) \\
 & + 15993172200f(5x_k) - 13947588970f(4x_k) + 10036940760f(3x_k) \\
 & - (5871850915 + 21!)f(2x_k) + 89707(21!)f(x_k))\|_k \leq 89708\eta
 \end{aligned} \tag{2.9}$$

$\forall x_1, \dots, x_k \in \mathcal{A}$ . Replacing  $(x_j, y_j)$  with  $(4x_j, x_j)$  where  $j = 1, 2, \dots, k$  in (2.1), further multiplying the resulting inequality by 136629, and subtracting the obtained result from (2.9), we arrive

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \sup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \|(257754f(14x_1) - 5002624f(13x_1) + 46070136f(12x_1) \\
 & - 267702400f(11x_1) + 1100948730f(10x_1) - 3406910976f(9x_1) \\
 & + 8232779040f(8x_1) - 15911651840f(7x_1) + 24985659190f(6x_1) \\
 & - 32195192960f(5x_1) + 34214953300f(4x_1) - 29940704640f(3x_1) \\
 & + (21113059740 - 21!)f(2x_1) + 226336(21!)f(x_1), \dots, \\
 & 257754f(14x_k) - 5002624f(13x_k) + 46070136f(12x_k) \\
 & - 267702400f(11x_k) + 1100948730f(10x_k) - 3406910976f(9x_k)
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &+8232779040f(8x_k) - 15911651840f(7x_k) + 24985659190f(6x_k) \\
 &-32195192960f(5x_k) + 34214953300f(4x_k) - 29940704640f(3x_k) \\
 &+(21113059740 - 21!)f(2x_k) + 226336(21!)f(x_k))\|_k \leq 226337\eta \quad (2.10)
 \end{aligned}$$

$\forall x_1, \dots, x_k \in \mathcal{A}$ . Replacing  $(x_j, y_j)$  with  $(3x_j, x_j)$  where  $j = 1, 2, \dots, k$  in (2.1), further multiplying the resulting inequality by 257754, and subtracting the obtained result from (2.10), we arrive

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\sup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \|(410210f(13x_1) - 8058204f(12x_1) + 75110420f(11x_1) - 441708960f(10x_1) \\
 &+1838125170f(9x_1) - 5753984016f(8x_1) + 14059725530f(7x_1) - 27459289440f(6x_1) \\
 &+43512311920f(5x_1) - 56356193740f(4x_1) + 59430597530f(3x_1) \\
 &-(49403537340 + 21!)f(2x_1) + 484090(21!)f(x_1), \dots, 410210f(13x_k) - 8058204f(12x_k) \\
 &+75110420f(11x_k) - 441708960f(10x_k) + 1838125170f(9x_k) - 5753984016f(8x_k) \\
 &+14059725530f(7x_k) - 27459289440f(6x_k) + 43512311920f(5x_k) - 56356193740f(4x_k) \\
 &+59430597530f(3x_k) - (49403537340 + 21!)f(2x_k) + 484090(21!)f(x_k))\|_k \leq 484091\eta \quad (2.11)
 \end{aligned}$$

$\forall x_1, \dots, x_k \in \mathcal{A}$ . Replacing  $(x_j, y_j)$  with  $(2x_j, x_j)$  where  $j = 1, 2, \dots, k$  in (2.1), further multiplying the resulting inequality by 410210, and subtracting the obtained result from (2.11), we arrive

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\sup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \|(556206f(12x_1) - 11033680f(11x_1) + 103870340f(10x_1) - 616981680f(9x_1) \\
 &+2592969064f(8x_1) - 8191295500f(7x_1) + 20153785260f(6x_1) - 39415741680f(5x_1) \\
 &+61761724710f(4x_1) - 76909669540f(3x_1) + (73024457570 - 21!)f(2x_1) \\
 &+894300(21!)f(x_1), \dots, 556206f(12x_k) - 11033680f(11x_k) + 103870340f(10x_k) \\
 &-616981680f(9x_k) + 2592969064f(8x_k) - 8191295500f(7x_k) + 20153785260f(6x_k) \\
 &-39415741680f(5x_k) + 61761724710f(4x_k) - 76909669540f(3x_k) \\
 &+(73024457570 - 21!)f(2x_k) + 894300(21!)f(x_k))\|_k \leq 894301\eta \quad (2.12)
 \end{aligned}$$

$\forall x_1, \dots, x_k \in \mathcal{A}$ . Replacing  $(x_j, y_j)$  with  $(x_j, x_j)$  where  $j = 1, 2, \dots, k$  in (2.1), further multiplying the resulting inequality by 556206, and subtracting the obtained result from (2.12), we arrive

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\sup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \|(646646f(11x_1) - 12932920f(10x_1) + 122216094f(9x_1) - 724243520f(8x_1) \\
 &+3010137130f(7x_1) - 9288423144f(6x_1) + 21930999090f(5x_1) - 40102398340f(4x_1) \\
 &+56393997660f(3x_1) - (58482664240 + 21!)f(2x_1) + 1450506(21!)f(x_1), \dots, \\
 &646646f(11x_k) - 12932920f(10x_k) + 122216094f(9x_k) \\
 &-724243520f(8x_k) + 3010137130f(7x_k) - 9288423144f(6x_k) \\
 &+21930999090f(5x_k) - 40102398340f(4x_k) + 56393997660f(3x_k) \\
 &-(58482664240 + 21!)f(2x_k) + 1450506(21!)f(x_k))\|_k \leq 1450507\eta \quad (2.13)
 \end{aligned}$$

$\forall x_1, \dots, x_k \in \mathcal{A}$ . Replacing  $(x_j, y_j)$  with  $(0, x_j)$  where  $j = 1, 2, \dots, k$  in (2.1), further multiplying the resulting inequality by 646646, and subtracting the obtained result from (2.13), we arrive

$$\sup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \left\| \left( \frac{1}{2097152} f(2x_1) - f(x_1), \dots, \frac{1}{2097152} f(2x_k) - f(x_k) \right) \right\|_k \leq \frac{2097153}{21!(2097152)} \quad (2.14)$$

for all  $x_1, \dots, x_k \in \mathcal{A}$ . Let  $\Psi = \{l : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B} | l(0) = 0\}$  and introduce the generalized metric  $d$  defined on  $\Psi$  by

$$d(l, m) = \inf \left\{ \Psi \in [0, \infty] \mid \sup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \|l(x_1) - m(x_1), \dots, l(x_k) - m(x_k)\|_k \leq \Psi \quad \forall \quad x_1, \dots, x_k \in \mathcal{A} \right\}$$

Then it is easy to show that  $(\Psi, d)$  is a generalized complete metric space, See [8]. We define an operator  $\mathcal{J} : \Psi \rightarrow \Psi$  by

$$\mathcal{J}l(x) = \frac{1}{2^{21}} l(2x) \quad x \in \mathcal{A}$$

We assert that  $\mathcal{J}$  is a strictly contractive operator. Given  $l, m \in \Psi$ , let  $\Psi \in [0, \infty]$  be an arbitrary constant with  $d(l, m) \leq \Psi$ . From the definition it follows that

$$\sup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \|l(x_1) - m(x_1), \dots, l(x_k) - m(x_k)\|_k \leq \Psi \quad x_1, \dots, x_k \in \mathcal{A}.$$

Therefore,  $\sup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \|(\mathcal{J}l(x_1) - \mathcal{J}m(x_1), \dots, \mathcal{J}l(x_k) - \mathcal{J}m(x_k))\|_k \leq \frac{1}{2^{21}} \Psi$   
 $x_1, \dots, x_k \in \mathcal{A}$ . Hence, it holds that

$$d(\mathcal{J}l, \mathcal{J}m) \leq \frac{1}{2^{21}} \Psi d(\mathcal{J}l, \mathcal{J}m) \leq \frac{1}{2^{21}} d(l, m)$$

$\forall l, m \in \Psi$ .

This Means that  $\mathcal{J}$  is strictly contractive operator on  $\Psi$  with the Lipschitz constant  $L = \frac{1}{2^{21}}$ .

By (2.14), we have  $d(\mathcal{J}f, f) \leq \frac{2097153}{21!(2097152)} \eta$ . Applying the Theorem 2.2 in [?], we deduce the existence of a fixed point of  $\mathcal{J}$  that is the existence of mapping  $\mathcal{V}_{21} : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$  such that

$$\mathcal{V}_{21}(2x) = 2^{21} \mathcal{V}_{21}(x) \quad \forall x \in \mathcal{A}.$$

Moreover, we have  $d(\mathcal{J}^n f, \mathcal{V}_{21}) \rightarrow 0$ , which implies

$$\mathcal{V}_{21}(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{J}^n f(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f(2^n x)}{2^{21n}}$$

for all  $x \in \mathcal{A}$ .

Also,  $d(f, \mathcal{V}_{21}) \leq \frac{1}{1 - \mathcal{L}} d(\mathcal{J}f, f)$  implies the inequality

$$d(f, \mathcal{V}_{21}) \leq \frac{2097153}{21!(2097151)} \eta.$$

Doing  $x_1 =, \dots, = x_k = 2^n x$  and  $y_1 =, \dots, = y_k = 2^n y$  in (2.1) and dividing by  $2^{21n}$ . Now, applying the property (a) of multi-norms, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\|\mathcal{G}\mathcal{V}_{21}(x, y)\| &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2^{21n}} \|\mathcal{G}f(2^n x, 2^n y)\| \\ &\leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2^{21n}} = 0\end{aligned}$$

for all  $x, y \in \mathcal{A}$ . The uniqueness of  $\mathcal{V}_{21}$  follows from the fact that  $\mathcal{V}_{21}$  is the unique fixed point of  $\mathcal{J}$  with the property that there exists  $\ell \in (0, \infty)$  such that

$$\sup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \|(f(x_1) - \mathcal{V}_{21}(x_1), \dots, f(x_k) - \mathcal{V}_{21}(x_k))\|_k \leq \ell$$

for all  $x_1, \dots, x_k \in \mathcal{A}$ .

Hence the proof. □

#### REFERENCES

- [1] T. Aoki, On the stability of the linear transformation in Banach spaces, *J. Math. Soc. Japan.* **2** (1950), 64-66.
- [2] Dales, H.G and Moslehian, Stability of mappings on multi-normed spaces, *Glasgow Mathematical Journal*, 49 (2007), 321-332.
- [3] Fridoun Moradlou, Approximate Euler-Lagrange-Jensen type Additive mapping in Multi-Banach Spaces: A Fixed point Approach, *Commun. Korean Math. Soc.*, **28**, 319-333, (2013).
- [4] Diaz J.B and Margolis B, A fixed point theorem of the alternative for contractions on generalized complete metric space, *Bull. Amer.Math.Soc.* **74**, 305-309, (1968).
- [5] Dales H.G and Polyakov M.E, multi-normed spaces and multi-Banach algebras, preprint.
- [6] D. H. Hyers, On the stability of the linear functional equation, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA*, **27** (1941), 222-224.
- [7] Moslehian M.S., Nikodem K and Popa D, Asymptotic aspects of the quadratic functional equations in multi-normed spaces, *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, **355**, 717-724, (2009).
- [8] Mihet.D and Radu.V, On the stability of the additive Cauchy functional equation in random normed spaces, *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, **343**, 567-572, (2008).
- [9] Sattar Alizadeh, Fridoun moradlou, Approximate a quadratic mapping in Multi-Banach Spaces, A Fixed Point Approach, *Int. J. Nonlinear Anal. Appl.*, **7**, 63-75, (2016).
- [10] Tian Zhou Xu, John Michael Rassias and Wan Xin Xu, Generalized Ulam - Hyers Stability of a General Mixed AQCQ functional equation in Multi-Banach Spaces: A Fixed point Approach, *European Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics*, **3**, 1032-1047, (2010).
- [11] Th. M. Rassias, On the stability of the linear mapping in Banach spaces, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **72** (2) (1978) 297-300.
- [12] S.M. Ulam, A Collection of the Mathematical Problems, Interscience, New York, (1960).
- [13] Xiuzhong Wang, Lidan Chang, Guofen Liu, Orthogonal Stability of Mixed Additive-Quadratic Jensen Type Functional Equation in Multi-Banach Spaces, *Advances in Pure Mathematics*, **5**, 325-332, (2015).
- [14] Zhihua Wang, Xiaopei Li and Themistocles M. Rassias, Stability of an Additive-Cubic-Quartic Functional Equation in Multi-Banach Spaces, *Abstract and Applied Analysis*, 11 pages, (2011).